

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - *Please use a separate sheet for each representation.* (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM38,MM 40,MM 42, MM72, MM79.

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

X

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

X

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

X

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to a proposed main modification).

The grounds specified in our objection are that the number of 6000 houses shown for SE Bradford should be reduced by 1800-2000. Also that the reduced numbers in other parts of the City of Bradford, which have reduced, should not be reduced so extensively and/or that the numbers outside the City of Bradford which have been increased should be further increased to accommodate the reduction in SE Bradford.

We consider that an unfair and disproportionately high number of houses are being distributed to SE Bradford, and in particularly the Tong Valley. We believe this is not sustainable in community terms and will not be adequately supported by the infrastructure envisaged.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modifications legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

11. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

18 January 2016

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.